Greenville Senior High

900 Woodside Avenue Greenville, SC 29611

Grades 9-12 High School

Enrollment 1,285 Students

Principal JF Dalton Lucas, Jr. 864–241–3220

Superintendent Dr. Phinnize J. Fisher 864–355–8860

Board Chair Charles J. Saylors 864–268–3128

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

2006^{R}

ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

ABSOLUTE RATING

GOOD

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

5 20 7 2 0

IMPROVEMENT RATING

UNSATISFACTORY

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

NO

This school met 14 out of 19 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFO	PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD							
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress					
2003	Excellent	Excellent	No					
2004	Good	Unsatisfactory	No					
2005	Excellent	Average	No					
2006	Good	Unsatisfactory	No					

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS								
		Our School		,	gh Schools w dents Like O			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006		
Passed 2 subtests	72.1	72.7	66.1	77.9	75.1	75.9		
Passed 1 subtest	13.0	12.6	14.2	11.6	13.1	11.3		
Passed no subtests	14.9	14.7	19.7	10.5	11.7	12.8		

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	88.2%	91.7%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP						
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours				
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	36.1	15.6				
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	36.1	17.1				
Seniors who met the grade point average	62.7	54.3				

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	316	277
Number of Diplomas	225	203
Rate	71.2%	74.9%

END OF COURSE TESTS							
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours					
		Students Like Ours					
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	71.1	80.1					
English 1	68.9	69.0					
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	54.7	64.7					
Physical Science	68.7	50.8					
All Subjects	65.5	66.0					

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROU	PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2006		Eligibility Schola		Graduation Rate				
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective		
All Students	306	88.2	252	36.1	225	71.2	No		
Gender									
Male	146	81.5	117	32.5	149	67.1	N/A		
Female	160	94.4	135	39.3	166	75.3	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	180	96.7	159	55.3	182	86.8	N/A		
African American	117	75.2	88	2.3	126	49.2	N/A		
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	100.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	N/A		
Hispanic	7	85.7	3	0.0	5	60.0	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	1	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
Non disabled	267	95.5	232	39.2	275	76.7	N/A		
Disabilities other than speech	39	38.5	20	0.0	41	34.1	N/A		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	306	88.2	252	36.1	316	71.2	N/A		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	304	88.2	252	36.1	314	71.0	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	72	76.4	81	45.7	81	45.7	N/A		
Full-pay meals	234	91.9	187	48.1	235	80.0	N/A		

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

				OUP

NOAP PERFURNANCE BY GR	/	- /	-	- 1	- 1	- 1	1.	- 1	- 1
	Enrollment 1st	g / _	% Below Basic	} /	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and	Performance Objective	Participation Objective
	j jej j	" resting % Tested	\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	% Basic		,	i je ji		
		1 %	/ gg	/ %	/ E	\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	ji ji		artic.
	\[\frac{1}{4} \]	·/ ~~	/ %	/	/ %	/ %	1 4 4	/ ª 🕏	\q_g
	nglish/Lan	<i>l</i> guage Art	s - State	<i>l</i> Performa	/		3%		
All Students	292	99.3	18.6	22.8	27.4	31.2	62.7	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	144	98.6	21.6	24.8	24.8	28.8	60.0	N/A	N/A
Female	148	100.0	15.9	21.0	29.7	33.3	65.2	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	150								
White	158	99.4	6.0	13.4	30.2	50.3	83.2	Yes	Yes
African American	111	99.1	35.1	33.0	24.5	7.4	37.2	No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	1/8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	20	100.0	36.8	42.1	21.1	N/A	31.6	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status Not Disabled	244	99.2	9.5	23.1	32.1	35.3	71.9	N/A	N/A
Disabled	48	100.0	66.7	21.4	2.4	9.5	14.3	IV/A	Yes
Migrant Status	40	100.0	00.7	21.4	2.4	უ.ა	14.3	1/3	162
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	292	99.3	18.6	22.8	27.4	31.2	62.7	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency	232	99.0	10.0	22.0	21.4	31.2	02.1	IN/A	IN/A
Limited English Proficient	14	100.0	50.0	50.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	278	99.3	17.4	21.7	28.5	32.4	65.2	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status	2.0	00.0		2	20.0	02.1	00.2	1471	1471
Subsidized meals	135	100.0	36.8	34.2	23.1	6.0	35.9	No	Yes
Full-pay meals	157	98.7	4.1	13.7	30.8	51.4	84.2	N/A	N/A
	Mathemati	rs – State	Performs	nce Ohie	ctive = 50	0.0%			
All Students	289	98.6	27.9	21.8	26.0	24.4	58.0	Yes	Yes
Gender	200	00.0	21.0	21.0	20.0	21.1	00.0	100	100
Male	142	97.9	28.0	20.0	28.0	24.0	58.4	N/A	N/A
Female	147	99.3	27.7	23.4	24.1	24.8	57.7	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	157	98.7	8.8	18.9	32.4	39.9	81.1	Yes	Yes
African American	111	98.2	54.3	24.5	16.0	5.3	28.7	No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	20	100.0	47.4	31.6	21.1	N/A	21.1	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	241	98.8	18.6	23.6	30.9	26.8	66.8	N/A	N/A
Disabled	48	97.9	76.2	11.9	N/A	11.9	11.9	I/S	Yes
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	289	98.6	27.9	21.8	26.0	24.4	58.0	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	12	100.0	50.0	30.0	20.0	N/A	20.0	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	277	98.6	27.0	21.4	26.2	25.4	59.5	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status	405	00.5	F0.0	05.0	40.0	5.0	20.0		\
Subsidized meals	135	98.5	50.0	25.9	19.0	5.2	30.2	No N/A	Yes
Full-pay meals	154	98.7	10.3	18.5	31.5	39.7	80.1	N/A	N/A

Student attendance in this school *or greater than last year

SCHOOL PROFILE

	Our School		nge from ist Year	High Schoo with Stud Like O	ols dents	Median High School
Students (n= 1,285)						
Retention rate	9.1%		om 10.1%		5.2%	7.0%
Attendance rate	93.1%		om 95.3%	-	5.3%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	19.1%				1.2%	7.9%
With disabilities other than speech	16.0%	•			2.3%	12.3%
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	11.1% 1.0%		om 11.8% nge		7.4% 1.2%	9.5% 1.2%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	38.9%		om 43.4%	1:	3.7%	11.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship*	36.1%				4.0%	10.2%
Annual dropout rate		Up from	1.3%		2.6%	2.8%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0%			,	0.8%	3.5%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	475	Up from	469		475	448
Students participating in worked-based experiences	2.0%	Down fr	om 2.4%	2	0.0%	24.2%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	86.3%	Up from	81.9%	8	1.4%	80.0%
Career/technology completers placed * Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem Teachers (n= 77)		N/A	_	10	0.0%	99.1%
Teachers with advanced degrees	58.4%	Down fr	om 59.0%	5	9.2%	55.5%
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV			i	N/AV	N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	8.1%	N/A			6.9%	9.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	10.4%	Up from	9.4%	1	6.3%	9.9%
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	83.6% 96.7%		om 85.0% 96.4%		7.5% 5.8%	86.3% 95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$43,047	Up 0.4%	0	\$43	3,215	\$42,943
Prof. development days/teacher	14.7 days	Up from	13.2 days	10.4	days	11.2 days
School						
Principal's years at school	1.0	Up from	0.5		4.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	27.6 to 1	Up from	26.9 to 1	27.6	6 to 1	25.7 to 1
Prime instructional time	88.0%	Down fr	om 89.3%	9	0.0%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,524	Up 11.3	%	\$6	5,789	\$6,792
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*		Down fr	om 59.8%		5.0%	55.3%
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	61.1%			6	0.0%	61.1%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent				ellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	99.0%			9	0.3%	92.8%
SACS accreditation	Yes				Yes	Yes
Character development * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Good	Down fr	om Excellent		Good	Good
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highl	v qualified toach	are		District 4.7%		State 6.2%
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by high Classes in high poverty schools not taught by high			I	4.7% 3.9%		10.2%
5.22220 III riigii potorty donodo not taugiit by fiigi	, quamou todoi	.510	State Object		Met St	ate Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in	this school		0.0%			No

94.0%*

No

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

With an overall improvement rating of Excellent, a Palmetto Gold Award, a Duke Foundation Grant, and two Advance S.C. grants, Greenville Senior High School, now in its eighth year as an academic magnet, continues to advance the achievement of students in all instructional programs and encourage personal growth.

Our Freshman Academy serves the needs of our most vulnerable students. We hired an additional guidance counselor to work with ninth-graders, focusing on academic success through careful planning and career awareness. We revised our school-wide student advisement program, developing individual student graduation plans and implementing online registration.

Two new programs offer alternative pathways of academic success to our students: Project Lead the Way, a pre-engineering course of study, and Oracle Academy, an infotechnology program. We combine diagnostic testing of Algebra 1 and Math Tech 2 students using Measures of Academic Progress with Cognitive Tutor, software designed to promote critical thinking and problem solving skills by those students.

We distributed over 600 Renaissance cards to students in recognition of academic achievement, and we implemented NovaNet, an online credit recovery program. SAT scores increased 23 points, and we implemented a summer SAT program for further improvement. We developed a state-of-the-art Foreign Language Lab, created a Robotics Team that entered regional and national competition and developed a student exchange program with Colonel By Secondary School in Canada. We won the Boys' Varsity Basketball and Lacrosse State Championships (2006), and rank first nationally in Varsity Boys' Soccer. Our students raised over \$125,000 for local charities during Spirit Week, and our PTSA received a Gold Volunteer Award for outstanding contributions to public education.

Although Greenville High School is successful in many areas of student achievement, we face the challenge of meeting the needs of a diverse student population. 35.9% of the seniors in the Class of 2005 were eligible for LIFE scholarships; fewer than 3% of those seniors were African-American students. We met AYP for English Language Arts in all subgroups, yet failed to meet AYP in math. The number of minority students enrolled in Honors and/or AP classes continues to be less than 5%.

This year, we will increase the number of opportunities for tutoring and skills enhancement through one-on-one tutoring, peer tutoring, PTSA adult mentoring, and NovaNet. We will continue to refine and assess the overall effectiveness of the Freshman Academy. We plan to revamp our In-School Suspension program. We will provide additional counseling and advisement opportunities for all students by focusing on academic planning through Individual Graduation Plans (IGPs) and career awareness. We will continue to challenge ourselves to accelerate student performance and raise the expectations for rigor and success in all academic areas.

JF Lucas, Jr., Principal Bernard Zaidman, SIC Chair

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	72	215	12				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	71.8%	66.8%	83.3%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	59.2%	63.0%	58.3%				
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	83.1%	84.0%	83.3%				

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.